

DOCKET NO.: RA- 5253 (33012/284/101)


P A T E N T

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re Application of: John Burns, et. al.
Application No.: 09/518,328
Filing Date: 03/03/2000
Allowed: 06/16/2005
Art Unit: 2123
Examiner: Craig, Dwin M.
Customer: 27516
Title: Method for Emulating Multi-Processor Environment

Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8. I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U. S. Postal Service on the day show below, with sufficient postage for First Class mail, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop ISSUE FEE, Commissioner of Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

By


(Name)

8/24/05
(Date)

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE


Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P O Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Examiner's statement of Reasons for Allowance implies that the claimed invention was allowed because the prior art did not disclosed specific elements. The elements characterized by the Examiner, however, even if found in the prior art, would not render the claimed invention invalid under 35 USC §102 because the claimed invention includes a number of limitations not addressed in the Reasons for Allowance. With respect to 35 USC §103, the requirements of establishing a *prima facie* case of obviousness including (1) a showing that the prior art teaches the entire claimed invention where all limitations are to be considered, and (2) that combining various prior art references is (a) suggested in the art, and (b) there would be motivation to make the combination, with a likelihood of success, have not been satisfied.

Unless otherwise advised, these comments are intended to be clarifying in a manner consistent with the law.

Respectfully submitted,


Charles A. Johnson, Reg. No. 20,852
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Unisys Corporation (MS 4773)
P O Box 64942
St. Paul, MN 55164-0942
Ph: (651) 635-7702

August 24, 2005
Date